1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	TIMOTHY A. MILLER (Cal. Bar No. 154744) SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 3800 San Francisco, California 94111-4144 JLYONS@SKADDEN.COM TMILLER@SKADDEN.COM Telephone: (415) 984-6400 Facsimile (415) 984-2698 Attorneys for Plaintiffs McKESSON CORPORATION AND HBO & COMPANY, INC.	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA *E-FILED - 8/24/06*	
11		SION
12	McKESSON CORPORATION, and) C HBO & COMPANY, INC.,	ase No. C-05-04020 RMW
13	Plaintiffs,) S'	TIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
14	v.	RDER RE:
15 16	ARTHUR ANDERSEN LLP, ROBERT) R	EVISED BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON PPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' ESPONSES TO AMENDED AND UPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT
17 18	Defendants.) D	ate: N/A ime: N/A
19		ept.: Courtroom 6 - 4th Floor
20)	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	į į	
27	,	
28		

STIPULATION AND [RROPOSED] ORDER (Case No. 05-04020 RMW)

WHEREAS, on August 11, 2005, Plaintiffs McKesson Corporation ("McKesson") and HBO & Company, now known as McKesson Information Solutions LLC ("HBOC") (collectively, "Plaintiffs") filed a complaint against Defendants Arthur Andersen LLP ("Andersen") and Robert A. Putnam ("Putnam" and together with Andersen and Plaintiffs, the "Parties") in the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the County of San Francisco (the "McKesson Complaint");

WHEREAS, on October 5, 2005, Putnam filed a Notice of Removal in the San Jose Division of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California;

WHEREAS, on or about February 24, 2006, the Parties entered into a Stipulation and [Proposed] Order RE: (1) Consolidated Briefing on Motions to Dismiss; (2) Briefing and Hearing Schedule; and (3) Changing Date for Case Management Conference, pursuant to which the Parties agreed, among other things, to a schedule for the briefing and hearing on motions to dismiss and related motions that Andersen and Putnam expected to file in response to the McKesson Complaint, which was approved by the Court on March 14, 2006;

WHEREAS, on March 2, 2006, Defendants Andersen and Putnam responded to the McKesson Complaint by filing motions to dismiss the McKesson Complaint pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and motions to strike certain portions of the complaint; and

WHEREAS, on or about March 21, 2006, the Parties entered into a Stipulation and [Proposed] Order RE: Briefing and Hearing Schedule On Motions To Dismiss And Motions To Strike, pursuant to which the Parties agreed, among other things, to modify the briefing and hearing schedule on the pending motions to dismiss and motions to strike, and to set the hearing on those motions for the same day as the scheduled initial case management conference, which was set for June 16, 2006;

WHEREAS, on March 30, 2006, Andersen served on counsel for McKesson and HBOC a copy of a complaint filed by Andersen against McKesson and HBOC in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Case No. C-06-02035 JW (RS) (the "Andersen Complaint");

WHEREAS, pursuant to Northern District Local Rule 3-12, in a Related Case Order dated April 14, 2006, this Court found that Case No. C-06-02035 is related to the class action captioned <u>In re McKesson HBOC</u>, <u>Inc. Securities Litigation</u> (Master File No. CV 99-20743 RMW) ("Class Action") and reassigned that action to this Court;

3

5

8

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

WHEREAS, the parties agreed that Case No. C-06-02035 is also related to the abovecaptioned action;

WHEREAS, prior to filing an opposition to Andersen's and Putnam's motions to dismiss the McKesson Complaint, McKesson and HBOC informed Andersen and Putnam of their intention to file an Amended and Supplemental Complaint;

WHEREAS, in light of McKesson and HBOC's intention to file an Amended and Supplemental Complaint, Andersen and Putnam agreed to withdraw their motions to dismiss and all related motions and requests and to coordinate the briefing on responses to McKesson's Amended and Supplemental Complaint with responses to the Andersen Complaint filed in Case No. C-06-02035, and to coordinate the scheduled initial case management conference in this action with the coordinated hearings on those motions;

WHEREAS, pursuant to stipulation of the parties, McKesson and HBOC filed their Amended and Supplemental Complaint on April 28, 2006;

WHEREAS, on or about May 12, 2006, the Parties entered into a Stipulation and [Proposed] Order RE: Coordinated Briefing and Hearing Schedule On Responses To Amended And Supplemental Complaint And Rescheduling Of Initial Case Management Conference, pursuant to which the Parties agreed to a coordinated schedule for the briefing and hearings on motions to be filed in response to the Amended and Supplemental Complaint in this action and the Andersen Complaint filed in Case No. C-06-02035, and on a new date for the initial case management conference in this action, which was approved by the Court on May 24, 2006;

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Court's May 24, 2006, order, Andersen and Putnam were required to answer, move or otherwise respond to the Amended and Supplemental Complaint on or before June 16, 2006, any papers filed in opposition to any motion filed in response to the Amended and Supplemental Complaint were to be filed on or before August 4, 2006, any reply papers filed in further support of any motion filed in response to the Amended and Supplemental Complaint were to be filed on or before September 1, 2006, the hearing on any motions filed in response to the Amended and Supplemental Complaint was to be noticed for September 22, 2006, and the initial case management conference originally scheduled for June 16, 2006, was to be held on September 22, 2006;

	ı
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	١
16	
17	l
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28

WHEREAS, on or about June 15, 2006, the Parties entered into a Stipulation and [Proposed] Order RE: Revised Briefing Schedule On Responses To Amended And Supplemental Complaint, pursuant to which the Parties agreed to revise the date for filing any responses to the Amended and Supplemental Complaint in this action and the Andersen Complaint filed in Case No. C-06-02035, from June 16, 2006 to July 7, 2006, with all other briefing and hearing dates remaining unchanged, which was approved by the Court on July 6, 2006;

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Court's July 6, 2006, order, on July 7, 2006, Andersen and Putnam responded to the Amended and Supplemental Complaint by filing, <u>inter alia</u>, a Motion to Dismiss and a Motion to Strike, and McKesson and HBOC responded to the Andersen Complaint in Case No. C-06-02035 by filing, <u>inter alia</u>, a Motion to Dismiss and a Motion to Strike;

WHEREAS, Andersen has recently propounded discovery requests in the related Class Action on McKesson's counsel in this action, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP ("Skadden");

WHEREAS, Skadden has agreed to accommodate Andersen's discovery requests prior to the August 18, 2006, discovery cutoff in the Class Action;

WHEREAS, to accommodate the completion of discovery in the Class Action, the Parties have met and conferred and reached an agreement on revised dates on which opposition and reply papers in this action and in Case No. C-06-02035 must be filed;

WHEREAS, the date of the hearing on these motions and the date of the initial case management schedule will remain unchanged under the modified schedule;

WHEREAS, the parties are simultaneously entering into a separate stipulation in Case No. C-06-02035 reflecting this revised coordinated schedule;

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the Parties that:

- (a) The date on which Plaintiffs shall file any papers in opposition to Andersen and Putnam's motions filed in response to the Amended and Supplemental Complaint shall be changed from August 4, 2006, to August 25, 2006;
- (b) The date on which Andersen and Putnam shall file any reply papers in further support of the motions filed in response to the Amended and Supplemental

1	Complaint shall be changed from September 1, 2006, to September 8, 2006;		
2	(c) All hearing dates in the Court's July 6, 2006, order shall remain unchanged, as set		
3	forth below:		
4	(1) The hearing date on the motions filed in response to the Amended and		
5	Supplemental Complaint in this action shall remain September 22, 2006,		
6	at 9:00 a.m., as noticed in those motions and as set forth in the Court's May		
7	24, 2006 and July 6, 2006 Orders;		
8	(2) The initial case management conference shall also be held on September		
9	22, 2006, at 9:00 a.m.		
10			
11	DATED: July 29, 2006 LAW OFFICES OF STEVEN J. ROSENBERG, P.C.		
12	That a labor /		
13	By Steven J. Rosenberg		
14	Attorneys for Defendant ROBERT A. PUTNAM		
15			
16			
17			
18	DATED: July 29, 2006 ALSCHULER GROSSMAN STEIN & KAHAN LLP		
19	DATED: July 29, 2006 ALSCHULER GROSSMAN STEIN & KAHAN LLP		
20	By Catt Vich		
21	Scott Vick		
22	Attorneys for Defendant ARTHUR ANDERSEN LLP		
23 24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	-4-		
	STIPULATION AND PROROSED] ORDER (Case No. 05-04020 RMW)		

	August 1			
1	DATED: July, 2006 SKADDE	EN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP		
2	2			
3	By	Timothy Miller		
4		Timothy Miller Attorneys for Plaintiffs McKESSON CORPORATION and		
5		HBO & COMPANY, now known as McKesson Information Solutions LLC		
6				
7				
8		<u>DER</u>		
9	9 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION	, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
10	10			
11	DATED: <u>8/24</u> , 2006	/s/ Ronald M. Whyte		
12	12	The Honorable Ronald M. Whyte		
13	13	United States District Judge		
14	14			
15	15			
16	16			
17	17			
18	18			
19	19			
20	20			
21	21	·		
22	22			
23	23			
24	24			
25				
26				
27	1			
28				
2 0		5		
	188539-San Francisco SIA STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER (Case No. 05-04020 RMW)			

Case 5:05-cv-04020-RMW Document 94 Filed 08/24/06 Page 6 of 6